

North-South 400kV Interconnection Development

The Need for a second North-South Electricity Interconnector

February 2014



Part Funded by the EU-TEN-E Initiative

Report produced by EirGrid and SONI

1 INTRODUCTION

The proposed installation of the second North South electricity interconnector is a critical and strategically urgent transmission reinforcement for the island of Ireland. This report has been prepared by EirGrid¹ and it details the strategic need for the project along with the benefits of increased security of supply and reduced electricity costs that follow from the delivery of the new transmission circuit.

Section 2 sets out the need for the Interconnector discussing the limitations of the transmission network that exists between Ireland and Northern Ireland today and how the construction of the new Interconnector will allow these limitations be removed.

Section 3 provides a detailed breakdown of the benefits to the users of the All-Island electricity system that will be delivered by the second North-South Interconnector.

Section 4 provides a summary of this report.

EirGrid group has followed accepted industry practice in the preparation of this Document. The modelling and studies undertaken to support the information given in this report utilise data and assumptions based on publicly available data as far as possible and references are provided where applicable.

2 NEED FOR THE INTERCONNECTOR

At present, a Single Electricity Market (SEM) exists on the Island of Ireland into which electricity generators based in both Ireland and Northern Ireland sell their power, and from which electricity suppliers in both Ireland and Northern Ireland buy their power. The overarching operating principle of this single electricity market is that demand for electricity should be met in the cheapest way possible. As such the SEM stacks the available generators on any given day in order from lowest price to highest price and uses the generators in this order to produce electricity up to the point where demand is satisfied.

Intuitively to allow this to happen the cheapest produced electricity must be able to flow freely without any significant impediment from where it is generated to where it is consumed. In industry terms, sufficient transfer capacity must exist to move the electricity from source to demand. Within Ireland, Northern Ireland and worldwide, the high voltage electricity transmission system is used to facilitate this efficient transfer of electricity.

However, a significant bottleneck exists between the transmission systems of Ireland and Northern Ireland such that the cheapest produced electricity on the island of Ireland cannot be physically transferred to where it is required at all times of the year. This bottleneck acts to increase the cost of producing electricity on the Island of Ireland.

Critically, this bottleneck also affects the strength and resilience of both transmission systems on the Island.

This bottleneck exists as a consequence of only having one high capacity Interconnector link between the transmission systems of Ireland and Northern Ireland. There are also two much smaller capacity connections between Donegal and Tyrone, and Cavan and Fermanagh which were designed to provide limited support to the local electricity networks in those areas rather than the wider transmission system. Neither of these connections on their own or combined have sufficient capacity to

¹ By EirGrid, we mean EirGrid group incorporating EirGrid and SONI, as transmission system operators for the island of Ireland

hold the Irish and Northern Irish transmission system together.

At present, this single Interconnector between Louth and Armagh is comprised of what is known as a double circuit i.e. two 275 kV (kiloVolt) high voltage power lines are supported from the same set of structures and towers. Each power line has the ability to carry 750 MW of power at its maximum level. In reality however, the current interconnector is prevented from carrying anywhere near this amount of power as to do so would put the stability of both the Irish and Northern Irish transmission systems at serious risk and would create an elevated risk of a black out.

The reasons for this are relatively straightforward. EirGrid and NIE in Northern Ireland are mandated by license to design the transmission systems of the two jurisdictions to be robust and secure against a single event causing the reliability and quality of the electricity supplied to customers to deviate from specified standards. This means that EirGrid and NIE must design the transmission systems to be able to withstand the loss of a single piece of that system, e.g. a power line, without affecting the electricity supply to the end user. The loss of a power line can have several causes such as lightning strikes, accidental or deliberate damage to a tower structure, fire or a mal-operation of the complex power system protection schemes. Sufficient resilience is built into the Irish and Northern Irish internal transmission systems to deal with existing demands². If one power line in either of these systems fails, the meshed nature of those systems means that the other power lines in the system take up the slack and power still flows uninterrupted to the end customer.

However, as there is only a single high capacity connection between Ireland and Northern Ireland at present, the loss of this connection will result in a situation where the power systems of Ireland and Northern Ireland will be isolated from each other. An event such as this is known as 'System Separation'. During a system separation event, depending on how much power was flowing across the Interconnector prior to system separation, one system will have a shortage of power or electricity, whilst the other will have too much power. Either of these situations can have serious consequences for the security of supply in one or both jurisdictions.

An excessive shortage of power is corrected by disconnecting electricity customers from the network which in itself is an undesirable situation. A surplus of power is corrected by automatically reducing the amount of power generated by power stations. If these corrective actions are not taken quickly enough (i.e. within a matter of seconds) then one or both power systems will potentially collapse resulting in black-outs.

At present, to mitigate the risk to either system during a system separation event, the upper limit on the amount of power allowed to be transferred between Northern Ireland and Ireland is 450³ MW (Megawatts⁴) and from Ireland to Northern Ireland is 400 MW. For simplicity it has been assumed that the amount of power that can be transferred in either direction is 450 MW. In practise during normal operation of the transmission system, a limit of 300 MW applies in either direction as 150 MW of transfer capacity should be kept free to allow one transmission system provide the other with reserve

 $^{^2\,}$ In future due to the changing nature of how power is generated and consumed in Ireland, the present transmission systems will have to be upgraded to ensure this resilience is maintained. This is the genesis of the Grid25 program

³http://www.eirgrid.com/media/OperationalConstraintsUpdateV ersion1.10_December_2013.pdf

⁴ One Megawatt is equivalent to 1,000 kilowatts (kW). In energy terms, one unit of electricity is one kW consumed over one hour, i.e. 1 kWh. In the power industry units of energy are represented as MegaWatt hours (MWh). One MWh = 1,000 kWh.

power in the event of the unexpected loss of a generator in either jurisdiction. During regular and unscheduled maintenance it is normal for these limits to be further reduced.

In summary, to transfer in excess of 450 MW on the current North South Interconnector would be considered to be putting the power systems of Ireland and Northern Ireland at unacceptable risk. This 450 MW limit is known as the 'Total Transfer Capacity' (TTC) of the current North South Interconnector.

A second North South Interconnector, physically separate and distant from the first, is hence required to provide added resilience to both transmission systems in the event that one or the other of the Interconnectors fails. In the event of either of the two Interconnectors failing, the other Interconnector will automatically be able to increase its power flow to compensate for the other and continue flowing power efficiently between the two transmission systems until such a time as the other interconnector is returned to service or other remedial actions are taken by the transmission system operators. Once the second North South Interconnector is in place the amount of power that can flow between the two transmission systems will be more than doubled to 1,100 MW.

In addition to enhancing the resilience of both transmission systems, in increasing the transfer capacity to 1,100 MW the second North South Interconnector will eliminate the aforementioned bottleneck, thus facilitating continued downwards pressure on electricity costs by allowing the cheapest sources of electricity serve demand and ensuring that power can flow freely between jurisdictions without significant impediments. The benefit of alleviating this bottleneck in terms of generating cheaper electricity will be examined in section 3.3.

A further consequence of the transmission system bottleneck that exists between Ireland and Northern

Ireland is that it is currently not possible to access the full security of supply benefits of all of the power generators on the Island, because of the limitation on the amount of support each system can provide to the other. The "All Island Generation Capacity Statement 2014-2023"⁵ identifies an increased risk to security of electricity supply in Northern Ireland from 2016 onwards. The document highlights the likelihood of electricity supply shortfalls arising from the planned closure of several power generators (required in order to comply with EU emissions directives), and shows that, in the continuing absence of a second North South Interconnector, there is likely to be a serious shortfall in the available sources of electricity supply in Northern Ireland in the years ahead. Such shortfalls may give rise to the necessity to disconnect portions of the electricity supply at times of peak demand in order to prevent system collapse. The construction of the second North South Interconnector is the optimum solution available to alleviate this security of supply risk and allow the surplus of generation capacity which exists in Ireland to be counted towards security of supply in Northern Ireland. The benefits arising from this extra security of supply are detailed in section 3.1.

In summary, there is a clear need for a second north south electricity Interconnector. The needs identified cover 3 main areas:

- Improving Security of Supply,
- Removing the Bottleneck between the transmission systems thus facilitating the most efficient transfer of power across the Island.
- Facilitating the integration of renewable power sources onto the electricity system

⁵ http://www.eirgrid.com/aboutus/publications/

3 BENEFITS OF THE NEW INTERCONNECTOR

This section describes the significant benefits in terms of increased security of supply and lower electricity costs that the construction of the second North-South Interconnector will bring to the All Island electricity user.

EirGrid has performed detailed analysis, described here, identifying these benefits by examining a range of power system indicators such as generation adequacy, network security, and the cost of producing power for the years 2020 and 2030. This analysis encompassed a range of scenarios and sensitivities using the most detailed and recent information and forecasts available for electricity demand, the portfolio of power generators and the costs of burning fuel to produce electricity.

A significant security of supply benefit has been identified, the value of which has been assessed to increase on a staged basis from 2022 towards an enduring annual value of €18m from 2028 onwards.

In addition, significant savings in the cost of producing electricity have been assessed to range from \notin 15m to \notin 24m in 2020, and from \notin 22m to \notin 42m in 2030.

When combined, the annual benefits of the new interconnector range from \notin 15m to \notin 24m in 2020 and from \notin 40m to \notin 60m in 2030 and beyond. The following sections examine these benefits in more detail.

3.1 IMPROVED SECURITY OF SUPPLY

As discussed previously, the addition of the new interconnector will effectively remove the existing bottleneck that limits power flows between Ireland and Northern Ireland. Removing this restriction will enhance cross-border support in the event of a shortage of electricity in either jurisdiction, thus ensuring security of electricity supply throughout the island of Ireland. This security of supply benefit is highlighted in the "All Island Generation Capacity Statement 2014-2023", which outlines the importance of the second interconnector for generation adequacy in Northern Ireland particularly from 2022 onwards.

The security of supply benefit of the existing North-South interconnector has been estimated at 300 MW in total⁶ i.e. the requirement for generation plant on the whole island is 300 MW less than if the two jurisdictions were completely separate. This benefit can be split between the two jurisdictions as 100 MW in Ireland (capacity support from Northern Ireland) and 200 MW in Northern Ireland (capacity support from Ireland).

With the second North-South interconnector in place, the transfer capability will increase, and effectively there will be no transmission limitations between the two jurisdictions. Each system will then be able to assist the other to a greater extent. In effect generation capacity on the island can be utilised much more economically and efficiently.

In order to determine a long-term, robust and appropriate Security of Supply benefit figure, a range of possible study assumptions were investigated thoroughly by assessing the additional security of supply benefit over a range of years from 2018 to 2030 with different power system characteristics. The most appropriate approach in determining the

⁶ Within the Security of Supply studies performed, for each area separately, the electricity demand was reduced in a single-system study until the generation adequacy matched that of a two-area study – this electricity demand reduction is equivalent to a reliance on the other system, and thus represents the capacity benefit attributable to the current North-South interconnector.

benefit is the one endorsed by the regulatory authorities in determining the Capacity Payment Pot Size⁷. This approach results in a security of supply benefit ranging from 240 MW to 268 MW. Therefore it is reasonable to estimate that the additional security of supply benefit from the second North-South interconnector is at least 240 MW on an enduring basis. The additional security of supply benefit can be converted into monetary terms by using the cost of a new peaking generator from the SEM Committee Decision Paper on Best New Entrant Peaker for 2013⁸.

The Regulators in Ireland and Northern Ireland jointly through the SEM Committee have estimated the Best New Entrant fixed annual cost in the latest Capacity Payment Mechanism⁹:

Best New Entrant Cost: 78,180 €/MW/year

Applying this to the security of supply benefit (\notin 78,180 * 240 MW) results in an annual enduring security of supply benefit value from the second North-South interconnector of \notin 18 million¹⁰.

It is also necessary to examine when the additional security of supply benefit comes into effect. While both jurisdictions are in generation capacity surplus today, the situation in Northern Ireland deteriorates from 2016 onwards. Recently, Moyle Holdings have indicated that remedial work may be undertaken to return the Moyle Interconnector to an import capacity of 450MW and that this could happen by 2017 at the earliest. We assumed that the full Moyle

⁷ For an outline, see

http://www.allislandproject.org/en/cp_decision_documents.aspx? article=ba1ce3a7-23ff-4dd3-8a88-cd715106eeaa

⁸http://www.allislandproject.org/en/cp_currentconsultations.aspx?article=75c548a7-34ee-497c-afd2-62f8aa0062df

9

Interconnector transfer capacity will be back in place by 2021 prior to Northern Ireland going into deficit. This has been taken into account in our assessment of the security of supply benefit. However there is no approved plan to do this and the timing of this is still uncertain. Were the Moyle Interconnector to remain at the current level of 250 MW, the enduring security of supply benefit would be the same; however the full enduring benefit of the second North-South Interconnector would be seen earlier.

The Security of Supply benefit saving for the second North-South interconnector has been calculated to begin in 2021 and increases towards an enduring Security of Supply benefit saving of at least €18m per annum from 2028 onwards. Of course, the Security of Supply benefit of the second North-South interconnector is not exclusive to one jurisdiction or the other. It is a shared benefit, but this benefit happens to be more obvious for Northern Ireland in the near to mid-term.

3.2 NETWORK SECURITY BENEFIT

As previously described the main transmission connection between Ireland and Northern Ireland is one 275kV double circuit. This limitation has an ongoing impact on the day to day operation of the all-island transmission system. To operate the system securely means that the System Operators must ensure that certain contingencies are covered in the event of failure or outage of certain system parts, i.e. generators or circuits. For the loss of any single item of plant the system should remain capable of meeting all required standards such as: voltage, frequency, stability.

http://www.allislandproject.org/GetAttachment.aspx?id=ada63f0 5-eecb-4f19-9e99-de35a09f6a4c (AIP/SEM/12/078, dated Aug. 2012)

¹⁰ Assuming we round down to the nearest million euro.

In Northern Ireland, existing Operating Standards indicate that the loss of a double circuit such as the existing North–South interconnector is treated as a single item. This means that, in day to day operation, generation plant on the island of Ireland is scheduled to meet demand in such a way as to ensure that there are always three main conventional generators operational in Northern Ireland. A minimum of three generating units would be required to maintain all reliability and quality standards in Northern Ireland should it become separated from the Irish transmission system. This results in less (economic) efficient dispatch of generation than would otherwise be the case.

With the completion of the second North–South interconnector, all-island generation can be operated more efficiently. With two interconnectors (the existing double circuit and the proposed single circuit) there is no longer a need to consider the possibility of system separation in day-to-day operational practices. This means that the need to operate three generation units in Northern Ireland can be reconsidered.

Studies carried out by EirGrid (using all-island network models) indicate that once the second North – South interconnector is completed it is possible to operate the all-island system securely with all reasonable contingencies taken into account with any two generation units operational in Northern Ireland. This conclusion has been factored into this benefit analysis as described in section 3.3.2.

3.3 REDUCED ELECTRICITY COSTS

At present the bottleneck between the transmission systems of Ireland and Northern Ireland prevents the cheapest available generators from supplying electricity demand at all times. There are two main categories of power generator in existence on the Island of Ireland today: renewable generators and fossil-fuelled generators. Renewable generators are predominantly comprised of wind turbines with a smaller amount of hydro power plants. Renewable generators have no fuel cost, and hence from the point of view of a wholesale electricity market are priced at zero.

Fossil-fuelled generators burn a fuel to produce electricity. The fossil-fuelled generators considered in this paper are gas, coal, and to a lesser extent distillate oil, peat and biomass fuelled. As there is a cost to producing and distributing these fuels, these generators have a certain cost of producing electrical power, and hence in the wholesale electricity market are priced above zero.

The future generation portfolio in Ireland will be comprised mainly of Gas fired Combined Cycle Gas Turbines (CCGT¹¹), wind generators and some Coal generators in certain years and scenarios. There has been significant investment in modern CCGT in Ireland over the last 5 years, with further investment expected in the coming years. This has all led to Ireland having a large capacity of high efficiency, and hence lower cost, CCGT units. Northern Ireland has not had the same extent of investment in the power sector, such that in general, the CCGT units in Northern Ireland are older, less efficient and consequently more expensive than generators in Ireland.

However, both Ireland and Northern Ireland have also seen significant expansion of wind generation recently and this is expected to continue as both jurisdictions attempt to reach renewable energy

¹¹ CCGTs are the most efficient power plants available today with standard efficiencies in Ireland of between 50% – 60%. The more efficient a generator, the less fuel it burns to produce a MWh of power, the cheaper it is.

targets by 2020 and decarbonise their economies beyond this date.

The absence of sufficient interconnection between Northern Ireland and Ireland means that the cheaper generators located in Ireland cannot at times serve electricity demand in Northern Ireland and vice versa, whilst also serving as an impediment towards sharing wind generation across the Island as required. This results in an increased cost for the electricity consumer, meaning that the full benefits of the SEM (and the proposed EU Target Model in the future) are not being realised at present. The construction of a second interconnector between Northern Ireland and Ireland will allow more benefits of the electricity market to be realised through the running of the cheapest available generators on the Island to meet electricity demand.

EirGrid has performed a detailed set of studies which estimate the cost of producing power by assessing the fuel burn cost and other running costs of electricity generators on an annual basis. These studies can be used to estimate the savings in the cost of producing electricity that the construction of the new North South Interconnector will bring. These studies simulate the operation of the electricity market on what is known as the short-run marginal cost basis for every hour of the year. The short-run marginal cost of each generator is assessed by calculating how much fuel that generator burns to produce one MegaWatt hour (MWh) of energy, while taking into account the cost of starting up generators, emissions costs and other technical limits. These studies emulate the operation of the electricity market by seeking to run the cheapest generators to meet the given electricity demand.

3.3.1 Assumptions and Scenarios for the Study

These studies utilise a set of assumptions that describe the key study inputs such as future electricity demand, wind power generation levels, fossil fuelled generator portfolio and fuel prices. Where possible these assumptions have been based on publicly published and reviewed data e.g. for generator characteristics, we have used the regulators' 2012-2013 Validated SEM Generator Data Parameters¹², for forecasted fuel prices we have used the IEA World Energy Outlook 2012 New Policies scenario¹³. The data assumptions and sources to the study are summarised in table 1.

Assumption	Source
Fuel and CO ₂ Prices	IEA World Energy Outlook 2012 (New Policies)
Electricity Demand	2014-23 Generation Capacity Statement
2020 Generation Portfolio	2012-2013 Validated SEM Dataset
2030 Generation Portfolio	ENTSOE TYNDP 2014 Vision 1 and 3.

Table 1: Sources of data Assumptions

While the focus of the studies is on the Ireland and Northern Ireland power systems, the study also accounts for flows on the interconnectors¹⁴ between the island of Ireland, Great Britain and France. A simplified approach is used where the Great Britain and France markets are modelled as a modified high efficiency gas-fired combined cycle generator (CCGT) to represent the typical marginal generator plant.

Two sets of studies to assess the reduction in the cost of producing power have been performed, one in 2020 and the other in 2030.

 ¹²http://www.allislandproject.org/en/market_decision_documents.
aspx?page=2&article=261a5576-bd83-4544-b250-7b18b55bd9ba
¹³ http://www.worldenergyoutlook.org/publications/weo-2012/
¹⁴ For the 2020 and 2030 vision 1 scenario, Moyle import/export capacity is 450/80MW, EWIC import/export capacity is 500/500MW, for 2030 vision 3 scenario an additional 700MW import/export is modelled.

2020 is the year where Ireland and Northern Ireland's 40% renewable energy target should be met. The year 2030 was chosen as it aligns with ENTSO-E's Ten Year Network Development Plan (TYNDP) 2014. For 2030, Vision 3 Green Transition¹⁵ has been chosen as the central scenario as it describes a potential path for the island of Ireland's transition towards 2050 European Union de-carbonisation targets. This scenario describes a future power system with a higher level of renewable electricity generation than in 2020 with Ireland's renewable penetration level set at 55% of demand and Northern Ireland's set at 60%. In addition a 700 MW Interconnector is modelled between Ireland and France and coal-fired generation has been removed¹⁶ and re-powered with gas-fired CCGTs. For 2020 and 2030 fuel prices we have used the same scenario i.e. the IEA New Policies scenario.

Within each study year two scenarios have been studied. The first of these is the Central scenario, which is assumed to represent the most likely scenario given the input assumptions. In addition to the Central scenario, a sensitivity scenario has also been assessed. The sensitivity scenario uses more pessimistic assumptions which lead to lower savings in the cost of producing electricity. The 2020 sensitivity scenario assumes a substantially lower cost of power abroad, which results in higher imports to the SEM. In the 2030 sensitivity scenario a lower electricity demand and renewable penetration is assumed than the corresponding 2030 central scenario which again leads to lower savings in the cost of producing electricity. For each scenario, the model calculates the overall cost of producing power with and without the second North-South interconnector and the difference is the saving attributable to the second North–South interconnector.

3.3.2 Reductions in the cost of Producing Power

A detailed set of studies has been performed examining a range of scenarios and assumptions. On the basis of these studies, EirGrid estimates that the annual savings in the cost of producing power would be as follows in Table 1.

Production Cost	2020	2030
Savings Scenario		
Central	€24m	€42m ¹⁷
Sensitivity	€15m	€22m ¹⁸

TABLE 1 ELECTRICITY PRODUCTION COST SAVING SUMMARY FOR 2020 AND 2030 STUDIES

There are four main drivers for these savings:

- Higher power flow capability: i.e. More efficient generators can be dispatched¹⁹ to meet all-island demand arising from the ability to flow more power in both directions
- Reduction of Northern Ireland must run units constraint: i.e. the relaxation of operational rules from 3 units that must run at all times to 2 that is permissible once the new interconnector has commissioned.

¹⁵ ENTSO-E <u>Scenario Outlook & Adequacy Forecast 2013</u> (SO&AF) 2013-2030, which presents the scenarios to be used in the <u>Ten-Year Network Development Plan 2014</u> (TYNDP) in compliance with Regulation (EC) n. 714/2009

¹⁶ We assume that European emissions regulations have stopped Coal fired generators from producing power as they emit too much Carbon Dioxide.

¹⁷ Based on ENTSOE Vision 3 green transition scenario

 $^{^{\}rm 18}$ Based on ENTSO-E Vision 1 i.e. slow progress, renewable penetration 40%

ⁱ⁹ The dispatch is essentially the stack of generators which are producing power at a given time to meet demand.

- Reserve²⁰ Dispatch Efficiencies: i.e. further savings arise from a more efficient reserve dispatch.
- Reductions in wind curtailment and constraint i.e. an increase in wind generation.

In 1) to 3) above more efficient and cheaper generators located in Ireland produce more power replacing less efficient and hence more expensive generators in Northern Ireland. In point 4) zero priced wind generation located across the Island displaces more expensive fossil fuel generation.

In both 2020 scenarios the main drivers for savings are points 1) – 3) with a small percentage of savings coming from point 4). The 2020 sensitivity case assumes that the price of power abroad is substantially cheaper than in the all-island electricity market. This results in higher imports to the island of Ireland, with this cheaper power replacing more expensive generation in Northern Ireland mostly, and in Ireland to a lesser extent. This results in lower production cost savings of €15m per annum in the sensitivity case. In the 2020 central case production cost savings of €24m are seen. This case is considered the most likely outcome for 2020.

In the 2030 central scenario drivers 1) – 4) account for all of the \notin 42m in electricity production cost savings with a sizable percentage of the savings coming from reductions in wind curtailment and constraint (Increases in wind energy production).

In the 2030 Sensitivity, renewable penetration levels are assumed to stay at 2020 levels meaning very little extra wind generators are installed over and above the 2020 total. This scenario gives a \notin 22m saving in 2030. The electricity production cost saving drivers in this Low Sensitivity scenario are largely the same as those for the 2020 studies.

The breakdowns of cost driver by scenario and by year are shown in figures 1 - 4. The following legend applies to these figures:

- Saving Driver 1 Higher Power Flow Capability : Blue Segment
- Saving Driver 2 Reduction in Northern Ireland must run units constraints: Red Segment
- Saving Driver 3 Reserve Dispatch efficiencies: Purple Segment
- Saving Driver 4 Reductions in wind curtailment and constraint: Green Segment



Figure 1: Breakdown of Electricity Production Cost Savings for 2020 Central Scenario.



Figure 2: Breakdown of Electricity Production Cost Savings for 2020 Sensitivity Scenario.

²⁰ The transmission system operators must maintain a certain amount of reserve power to be used in the event of the unexpected failure of a generator or a transmission system fault.



Figure 3: Breakdown of Electricity Production Cost Savings for 2030 Central Scenario.

Figures 5 and 6 show the volume of power flows between each jurisdiction which produce the electricity production cost savings in 2020 and 2030 and are made possible by the increase in transfer capacity brought about by the new interconnector and the ability to move cheaper power from Ireland to Northern Ireland and vice versa and produce more wind energy across the whole Island.



Figure 4: Breakdown of Electricity Production Cost Savings for 2030 Sensitivity Scenario.

The red bars in figures 5 and 6 shows the volume of flows without the new Interconnector whilst the blue bars show the volume of flows with the new interconnector.



Figure 5: Histogram of flows in 2020 between Ireland and Northern Ireland with the new North South Interconnector.



Figure 6: Histogram of flows in 2030 between Ireland and Northern Ireland with the new North South Interconnector.

As mentioned we have used the same fuel forecast for the Central Case and Sensitivity studies within years and the same category of fuel forecast for both study years i.e. IEA World Energy Outlook 2012 New Policies scenario (2020 and 2030 forecasts) which is the IEA reference scenario.

The forthcoming ENTSO-E Ten Year Network Development Plan (TYNDP) 2014 uses a slightly older fuel forecast than that used here. The TYNDP Vision 1 scenario (equivalent to the 2030 Sensitivity study) uses IEA Current Policies forecast which has lower fuel prices than the New Policies forecast.

The TYNDP Vision 3 scenario (equivalent to the Central Case study) uses the IEA 450 forecast which has higher fuel prices than the New Policies forecast. It should be noted that the ENTSO-E studies associated with the TYNDP 2014 can be more limited than the detailed modelling approach we have undertaken here. For example, the ENTSO-E studies may not take into account operational changes such as the change in the amount of must run units required which, as seen earlier, can be a significant portion of the reduction in electricity costs.

4 CONCLUSION

The proposed second North-South electricity interconnector is a critical and strategically urgent transmission reinforcement. This report details the need for the second North South Interconnector and the benefits that will accrue from its delivery. Comprehensive and detailed studies have been undertaken, examining a range of power system indicators such as generation adequacy and security of supply, network security, and the costs of producing electricity for the years 2020 and 2030, encompassing a range of scenarios and sensitivities using current forecasts for demand, generation portfolio and fuel prices.

A range of electricity production cost and security of supply benefit savings can be attributed to the construction of the North South interconnector with annual electricity production cost benefits ranging from $\leq 15m$ to $\leq 24m$ in 2020, and increasing to between $\leq 22m$ and $\leq 42m$ in 2030 and beyond.

In addition a significant security of supply benefit has been identified which increases on a staged basis towards an annual enduring benefit of €18m from 2028 onwards.

When combined, the annual benefits of the new interconnector range from $\notin 15m$ to $\notin 24m$ in 2020 and from $\notin 40m$ to $\notin 60m$ in 2030 and beyond. The range of overall benefits is shown in figure 5.



Figure 5: Combined security of supply and production cost benefits of the second North-South interconnector.